UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,766	06/27/2006	Allan L. Goldstein	2600-116	. 9880
6449 7590 07/10/2007 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LUKTON, DAVID	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/564,766	GOLDSTEIN, ALLAN L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· ·	David Lukton	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 No	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 November 2006</u> .					
· <u> </u>	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or e						
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

A restriction is imposed, as set forth below. First however, the following subgenera are defined:

G1: (i) the compound includes a polypeptide that comprises the amino acid sequence LKKTET, (ii) the compound includes a polypeptide that comprises a conservative variant of LKKTET, (iii) the compound includes an actin-sequestering agent, or (iv) the compound includes an anti-inflammatory agent;

G2: an agent which stimulates production of one of the compounds of G1 but is not effective to mitigate production of any the compounds of G1;

G3: an agent which has the capacity to either stimulate or reduce production of one of the compounds of G1 (and G2 is excluded from G3);

G4: an antagonist of a compound of G1.

G5: (i) the substance comprises a compound that includes the amino acid sequence LKKTET, (ii) the substance comprises a compound that includes a conservative variant of the amino acid sequence LKKTET, (iii) the substance comprises an actin-sequestering agent, or (iv) the substance comprises an anti-inflammatory agent;

G6: the substance comprises an agent which stimulates production of one of the substances of G5 but is not effective to mitigate production of any the substances of G5;

G7: the substance comprises an agent which has the capacity to either stimulate or reduce production of one of the substances of G5 (and G6 is excluded from G7);

G8: the substance comprises an antagonist of a substance of G5.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of mitigating radiation damage.

II. Claim 18, drawn to a substance

The claimed inventions are distinct.

Groups II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). However, in the event that Group II is elected, and claims therein found allowable, the corresponding method-of-use claims will be rejoined for further examination.

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species (as follows) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

In the event that Group I is chosen for initial examination, election of each of the following subgenera (or species) is required:

- a) one of the following: (i) G1 (only) is administered; (ii) G2 (only) is administered; (iii) G3 (only) is administered; (iv) G4 (only) is administered; or (iv) a combination of two or more of G1, G2, G3 and G4 is administered;
- b) the "form" of the composition, e.g., paste, lotion, salve, suspension or cream;
- c) the "route" of administration, e.g., orally, nasally or i.v. injection;
- d) a specific cell type for which protection is sought (e.g., stem cells in bone marrow);
- e) one of the following: (i) in the elected method, radiation is administered to a target area, or (ii) in the elected method, radiation is not administered.

In the event that Group II is chosen for initial examination, election of each of the following is required:

- a) one of the following: (i) G5 (only) is administered; (ii) G6 (only) is administered; (iii) G7 (only) is administered; (iv) G8 (only) is administered; or (iv) a combination of two or more of G5, G6, G7 and G8 is administered;
- b) one of the following: (i) the elected substance comprises a carrier, or (ii) the elected substance does not comprise a carrier.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. > 103 of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571)272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

De ly Non

DAVID LUKTON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER